

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Upited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Vyginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY BOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/20/2000 6942 09/666,519 48556.00001 Alexander G. Dickinson 23767 7590 04/24/2006 **EXAMINER** PRESTON GATES ELLIS & ROUVELAS MEEDS LLP HA, LEYNNA A 1735 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW, SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20006 2135

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence		09/666,5	19	DICKINSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		LEYNNA	T. HA	2135	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHICH - Extensi after St - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come riod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex munication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be tin rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	od on 20 January 200	ne		
<i>'</i> —	•	ed on <u>29 January 200</u> 2b)⊠ This action is r	_		
,—	, —				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
C	losed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte Qu	dayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 4	J3 O.G. 210.	
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4)⊠ C)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application.				
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 C	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.		
Application	n Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•	applicant may not request that any obje				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				
	he oath or declaration is objected t				
•	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)	
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Tor foreign phoney ar	140, 00 0.0.0.3 110(4) (d) 01 (i).	
·	. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en received.		
-	. Certified copies of the priority			ion No.	
	. Copies of the certified copies				
J	application from the Internation	• •		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action			ed.	
			•		
Attachment(s	3)				136
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			Patent Application (PTO-152)	*
	No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Other:		
C Datast and Tool	lamed: Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-74 have been re-examined and are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 29, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 10-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by EPSTEIN. (US 6,453,416).

As per claim 10:

EPSTEIN teaches the method of facilitating cryptographic, the method comprising:

associating a user from multiple users with one or more keys from a plurality of private cryptographic keys [COL.4, lines 24-31] stored on a secure server; [COL.6, lines 28-55]

receiving authentication data from the user; [COL.4, lines 54-58] comparing the authentication data to authentication data corresponding to the user, thereby verifying the identity of the user; and [COL.6, lines 20-38] utilizing the one or more keys to perform cryptographic functions without releasing the one or more keys to the user. [COL.7, lines 26-48]

As per claim 11: See COL.6, lines 12-14, discussing the authentication data corresponding to the user was acquired prior to the step of receiving authentication data from the user.

As per claim 12: See COL.5, line 43 thru COL.6, line 67, for receiving the hash of a message or document.

As per claim 13: See COL.5, lines 63-66, discussing archiving the hash.

As per claim 30:

EPSTEIN discloses a cryptographic system, comprising:

a plurality of data storage facilities, wherein each data storage facility includes a computer accessible storage medium which stores one of substantially randomized data portions of at least one cryptographic key from a plurality of cryptographic keys; and [COL.5, lines 30-51 and COL.6, lines 39-49]

a cryptographic engine which communicates with plurality of data storage facilities and comprises [COL.4, lines 30-35 and COL.6, lines 28-32]

a data splitting module which operates on the cryptographic keys to create said substantially randomized data portions of at least one cryptographic key, [COL.4, lines 53-58 and COL.7, lines 4-33]

a data assembling module which processes the portions from at least two of the data storage facilities to assemble said at least one cryptographic key from said plurality of cryptographic keys, and [COL.6, lines 13-19 and COL.7, lines 36-45]

a cryptographic handling module which receives the assembled cryptographic keys and performs cryptographic functions therewith. [COL.6, lines 20-38 and COL.7, lines 52-60]

As per claim 31: COL.6, lines 12-14; discussing the substantially randomized data portions are not individually decipherable.

As per claim 32: See COL.5, lines 63-66 and COL.6, lines 39-49; discussing each data storage facility is logically separated from any other data storage facility.

As per claim 33: See COL.5, lines 63-66 [for the data storage within the smartcard] and COL.6, lines 39-49 [for the data storage within the server]; discussing each data storage facility is physically separated from any other data storage facility.

As per claim 34: See col.4, lines 13-24, discussing the authentication engine which, before the cryptographic functionality may be employed on behalf of the user, uniquely identifies the user.

As per claim 35: See COL.6, lines 28-40; discussing the plurality of data storage facilities comprises at least one secure server.

As per claim 36:

EPSTEIN teaches the method of storing authentication data in geographically remote secure data storage facilities thereby protecting the authentication data against comprise of any individual data storage facility, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2135

receiving authentication data at a trust engine; [COL.5, lines 12-14]

combining at the trust engine the authentication data with the first substantially random value to form a first combined value; [COL.7, lines 2-15 and 21-24]

combining the authentication data with the second substantially random value to form a second combined value; [COL.7, lines 18-32]

creating a first pairing of the first substantially random value with the second combined value; [COL.7, lines 33-38]

creating a second pairing of the first substantially random value with the second substantially random value; [COL.7, lines 39-43]

storing the first pairing in a first secure data storage facility; and [COL.7, lines 5-6]

storing the second pairing in a second data storage facility remote from the first secure data storage facility. [COL.7, lines 59-62]

As per claim 37:

EPSTEIN teaches the method of storing authentication data comprising:

receiving authentication data; [COL.5, lines 12-14]

combining the authentication data with the first set of bits to form a second set of bits;

[COL.7, lines 2-15 and 21-24]

combining the authentication data with a third set of bits to form the fourth set of set of bits; [COL.7, lines 18-32]

creating a first pairing of the first set of bits with the third set of bits; [COL.7, lines 33-38]

creating a second pairing of the first set of bits with the fourth set of bits; [COL.7, lines 39-43]

storing one of the first and second pairing in a first computer accessible storage medium; and [COL.7, lines 36-41]

storing the other of the first and second pairing in a second computer accessible storage medium. [COL.7, lines 59-62]

As per claim 38: See COL.6, lines 39-46; discussing the first and second computer accessible storage mediums comprises at least one server.

As per claim 39: See COL.5, lines 46-66; discussing the first computer accessible storage medium is geographically remote from the second computer accessible storage medium.

As per claim 40: See COL.6, lines 14-27; discusses matching one of the first and second pairing with one of the first and second computer accessible storage medium is substantially random.

As per claim 41: See COL.5, lines 48-55; discussing the first and third set of bits are substantially random.

As per claim 42: See COL.5, lines 60-62; discussing the first and third set of bits comprises a bit length equal to a bit length of the sensitive data.

As per claim 43: See COL.6, lines 12-27; discussing the first and second pairings are needed to reassemble the data.

As per claim 44: EPSTEIN discusses creating a third pairing of the second set of bits with the third set of bits; [COL.5, lines 42-62] creating a fourth pairing of the second set of bits with the fourth set of bits; [COL.6, lines 12-27] storing one of the third and fourth pairings in a third computer accessible storage medium; and storing the other of the third and fourth pairings in a fourth computer accessible storage medium. [COL.6, lines 39-46]

As per claim 45:

EPSTEIN teaches the method of storing cryptographic data in geographically remote secure data storage facilities thereby protecting the cryptographic data against comprise of any individual data storage facility, the method comprising:

receiving cryptographic data at a trust engine; [COL.5, lines 12-14]

combining at the trust engine the cryptographic data with the first substantially random value to form a first combined value; [COL.7, lines 2-15 and 21-24]

combining the cryptographic data with the second substantially random value to form a second combined value; [COL.7, lines 18-32]

creating a first pairing of the first substantially random value with the second combined value; [COL.7, lines 33-38]

creating a second pairing of the first substantially random value with the second substantially random value; [COL.7, lines 39-43]

storing the first pairing in a first secure data storage facility; and [COL.7, lines 5-6]

Page 9

storing the second pairing in a second data storage facility remote from the first secure data storage facility. [COL.7, lines 59-62]

As per claim 46:

EPSTEIN teaches the method of storing cryptographic data comprising:

receiving cryptographic data; [COL.5, lines 45-54]

combining the cryptographic data with the first set of bits to form a second set of bits; [COL.7, lines 2-15 and 21-24]

combining the cryptographic data with a third set of bits to form the fourth set of set of bits; [COL.7, lines 18-32]

creating a first pairing of the first set of bits with the third set of bits; [COL.7, lines 33-38]

creating a second pairing of the first set of bits with the fourth set of bits; [COL.7, lines 39-43]

storing one of the first and second pairings in a first computer accessible storage medium; and [COL.7, lines 36-41]

storing the other of the first and second pairings in a second computer accessible storage medium. [COL.7, lines 59-62]

As per claim 47: See COL.6, lines 39-46; discussing the first and second computer accessible storage mediums comprises at least one server.

As per claim 48: See COL.5, lines 46-66; discussing the first computer accessible storage medium is geographically remote from the second computer accessible storage medium.

As per claim 49: See COL.6, lines 14-27; discusses matching one of the first and second pairing with one of the first and second computer accessible storage medium is substantially random.

As per claim 50: See COL.5, lines 48-55; discussing the first and third set of bits are substantially random.

As per claim 51: See COL.5, lines 60-62; discussing the first and third set of bits comprises a bit length equal to a bit length of the sensitive data.

As per claim 52: See COL.6, lines 12-27; discussing the first and second pairings are needed to reassemble the data.

As per claim 53: EPSTEIN discusses creating a third pairing of the second set of bits with the third set of bits; [COL.5, lines 42-62] creating a fourth pairing of the second set of bits with the fourth set of bits; [COL.6, lines 12-27] storing one of the third and fourth pairings in a third computer accessible storage medium; and storing the other of the third and fourth pairings in a fourth computer accessible storage medium. [COL.6, lines 39-46]

4. Claims 54-58, and 70-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by BORZA (US 5,995,630).

As per claim 54:

BORZA teaches the method of handling sensitive data from a plurality of users in a cryptographic system, wherein the sensitive data exists in a useable form only during actions employing the sensitive data, the method comprising:

receiving in a software module, substantially randomized sensitive data portions from a first computer accessible storage medium; [COL.6, lines 63-65 and COL.8, lines 48-51; the randomized sensitive data is the registered biometric data stored in the memory 123 or encryption key]

receiving in a software module, substantially randomized data portions from a second computer accessible storage medium; [COL.7, lines 30-38 and 55-59 and COL.8, lines 40-42; the fingerprint received from the imaging device and the algorithm specific (of the encryption/decryption circuit 124) for generating data from the image is the randomized data]

processing the substantially randomized sensitive data portions and the substantially randomized data portions in the software module to assemble the sensitive data; and [COL.6, lines 65-66 and COL.8, lines 48-57; once the encode image (randomized data) and the registered biometric data is processed, a sensitive data key is assembled]

employing sensitive data in a software engine to authenticate exactly one of said plurality of users. [COL.8, lines 57-67; if the match is detected, the data is that of an authorized user (col.8, lines 50-53), therefore authenticates exactly that one particular user of the many authorized users (col.6, lines 11-14)]

As per claim 55: See Borza on COL.9, lines 50-51; discusses destroying the sensitive data after completion of the action.

As per claim 56: See Borza on COL.6, lines 15-18; discussing biometric data cryptographic key data.

As per claim 57: See Borza on COL.6, lines 50-61; discussing at least one of the first and second computer accessible storage mediums comprise a secure server.

As per claim 58: See Borza on COL.7, lines 30-38; discussing authentication and cryptography.

As per claim 70:

BORZA teaches the method of handling sensitive data in a cryptographic system, wherein said sensitive data exists in a useable form only during actions employing said sensitive data, said method comprising:

receiving in a software module, substantially randomized sensitive data portions from a first computer accessible storage medium; [COL.6, lines 63-65 and COL.8, lines 32-35; the randomized sensitive data is the registered biometric data stored in the memory 123]

receiving in said software module, substantially randomized data portions from a second computer accessible storage medium, [COL.7, lines 30-38 and 55-59 and COL.8, lines 40-42; the fingerprint received from the imaging device and the algorithm specific (of the encryption/decryption circuit 124) for generating data from the image is the randomized data]

processing said substantially randomized sensitive data portions from said first computer accessible storage medium and said substantially randomized data portions from said second computer accessible storage medium in said software module to assemble said sensitive data; and [COL.6, lines 65-66 and COL.8, lines 48-57; once the encode image (randomized data) and the registered biometric data is processed, a sensitive data key is assembled]

employing said sensitive data in a software engine to perform a cryptographic function. [COL.8, lines 57-67; once the user is authenticated, the key is given for encryption/decryption]

As per claim 71: See COL.9, lines 50-51; discusses destroying said sensitive data after completion of said action.

As per claim 72: See COL.6, lines 15-18; discussing said sensitive data includes one of user biometric data and cryptographic key data.

As per claim 73: See COL.6, lines 50-61; discussing at least one of the first and second computer accessible storage mediums comprise a secure server.

As per claim 74: See COL.7, lines 30-38; discussing software module comprises a data assembling module and said software engine comprises one of an authentication engine and a cryptographic engine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BORZA (US 5,995,630), and further in view of Epstein (US 6,453,416).

As per claim 1:

BORZA discloses a remotely accessible secure cryptographic system for storing a plurality of private cryptographic keys to be associated with a plurality of users, wherein the cryptographic system associates each of the plurality of users with one or more different keys from the plurality of private cryptographic keys and performs cryptographic functions for each user using

Art Unit: 2135

the associated one or more different keys without releasing the plurality of private cryptographic keys to the users, the cryptographic system comprising:

[see COL.6, line 1...Et. SEQ.]

a depository system having at least one server which stores a plurality of private cryptographic keys and a plurality of enrollment authentication data [COL.8, lines 33-40], wherein each enrollment authentication data identifies one of multiple users [COL.7, lines 5-11] and each of the multiple users is associated with one or more different keys from the plurality of private cryptographic keys; [COL.6, lines 29-30 and COL.8, lines 60-62]

an authentication engine which compares authentication data received by one of the multiple users [COL.6, lines 31-34] and received from the depository system, thereby producing an authentication result; [COL.6, lines 35-36 and COL.8, lines 48-53]

a cryptographic engine which, when the authentication result indicates proper identification of the one of the multiple users [COL.8, line 52], performs cryptographic functions on behalf of the one of the multiple users using the associated one or more different keys received from the depository system; and [COL.6, lines 36-38 and COL.8, lines 54-59]

a transaction engine connected to route data from the multiple users to the depository server system, the authentication engine, and the cryptographic engine; and [COL.8, lines 31-37 and COL.9, lines 17-50]

Borza discloses that computer security is an important issue and with proliferation of computers and computer networks into all aspects of business and daily life (i.e. financial, medical, government, communications), a concern over secure file access is growing. Thus, Borza teaches encryption techniques to provide security for computer communications and files for computers and networks (col.1, lines 15-26). However, Borza did not clearly point out that the secure cryptographic system is remotely accessible.

Epstein teaches the method of facilitating cryptographic comprising associating a user from multiple users with one or more keys from a plurality of private cryptographic keys [COL.4, lines 24-31] stored on a secure server [COL.6, lines 28-55], receiving authentication data from the user; [COL.4, lines 54-58] and comparing the authentication data to authentication data corresponding to the user, thereby verifying the identity of the user; and [COL.6, lines 20-38] wherein utilizing the one or more keys to perform cryptographic functions without releasing the one or more keys to the user [COL.7, lines 26-48]. Epstein teaches a secure proxy signing devices for forming and supplying digital signatures that provides security measures directed against the possibility of unauthorized users (col.2, lines 30-37) over a network on behalf of the users so that private keys are never extant at user equipment which is not secure (col.1, lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention for the secure cryptographic system of Borza to be remotely accessible because this provides security measures over the network so that keys are never extant at the user equipment that is unsecure.

As per claim 2:

BORZA discloses a remotely accessible secure cryptographic system comprising:

a depository system having at least one server which stores at least one private key and a plurality of enrollment authentication data [COL.8, lines 33-40], wherein each enrollment authentication data identifies one of multiple users; [COL.7, lines 5-11]

an authentication engine which compares authentication data received by one of the multiple users [COL.6, lines 31-36] and received from the depository system, thereby producing an authentication result; [COL.8, lines 48-53]

a cryptographic engine which, when the authentication result indicates proper identification of the one of the multiple users [COL.8, line 52], performs cryptographic functions on behalf of the one of the multiple users using the associated one or more different keys received from the depository system; and [COL.6, lines 36-38 and COL.8, lines 54-59]

a transaction engine connected to route data from the multiple users to the depository server system, the authentication engine, and the cryptographic engine; and [COL.8, lines 31-37 and COL.9, lines 17-50]

Borza discloses that computer security is an important issue and with proliferation of computers and computer networks into all aspects of business and daily life (i.e. financial, medical, government, communications), a concern over secure file access is growing. Thus, Borza teaches encryption techniques to provide security for computer communications and files for computers and networks (col.1, lines 15-26). However, Borza did not clearly point out that the secure cryptographic system is remotely accessible.

Epstein teaches the method of facilitating cryptographic comprising associating a user from multiple users with one or more keys from a plurality of private cryptographic keys [COL.4, lines 24-31] stored on a secure server [COL.6, lines 28-55], receiving authentication data from the user; [COL.4, lines 54-58] and comparing the authentication data to authentication data corresponding to the user, thereby verifying the identity of the user; and [COL.6, lines 20-38] wherein utilizing the one or more keys to perform cryptographic functions without releasing the one or more keys to the user [COL.7, lines 26-48]. Epstein teaches a secure proxy signing devices for forming and supplying digital signatures that provides security measures directed against the possibility of unauthorized users (col.2, lines 30-37) over a network on behalf of the users so that private keys are never extant at user equipment which is not secure (col.1, lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention for the secure cryptographic system of Borza

Art Unit: 2135

to be remotely accessible because this provides security measures over the network so that keys are never extant at the user equipment that is unsecure.

As per claim 3: See Borza on COL.6, lines 29-33 and COL.8, lines 34-36; discussing a plurality of storage facilities for storing the private key and the enrollment authentication data.

As per claim 4: See Borza on COL.7, lines 8-14 and COL.8, line 64 thru COL.9, line 3; discussing each substantially randomized portion is individually undecipherable.

As per claim 5: See Borza on COL.6, line 13; discussing the enrollment authentication data includes biometric data.

As per claim 6: See Borza on COL.6, lines 15-16; discussing the enrollment authentication data includes finger print patterns.

As per claim 7: See Borza on COL.5, line 66 and COL.8, lines 38-42, discussing the private key corresponding to the secure cryptographic system.

As per claim 8: See Borza on COL.8, lines 60-62; discussing at least one private key corresponding to one of the multiple users.

As per claim 9: See Borza on COL.8, lines 35-36, discussing cryptographic functions comprise one of digital signing, encryption, and decryption.

Art Unit: 2135

7. Claims 14-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Epstein (US 6,453,416), and further in view of BORZA

(US 5,995,630).

As per claim 14:

EPSTEIN discloses an authentication system for uniquely identifying a

user through secure storage of the user's (enrollment) authentication data, the

authentication system comprising:

a plurality of data storage facilities, wherein each data storage facility

includes a computer accessible storage medium which stores one of

substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of (enrollment)

authentication data from (enrollment) authentication data; and [COL.5, lines

30-51 and COL.6, lines 39-49]

an authentication engine which communicates with plurality of data

storage facilities and comprises [COL.6, lines 12-30]

a data splitting module which operates on the (enrollment)

authentication data to create said substantially randomized data portions from

said at least one piece of (enrollment) authorization data; [COL.4, lines 53-58

and COL.7, lines 4-6]

a data assembling module which processes said substantially

randomized data the portions from at least two of the data storage facilities to

assemble said at least one piece of (enrollment) authorization data, and [COL.6, lines 13-19 and COL.7, lines 19-33]

data comparator module which receives current authentication data from a user and compares the current authentication data with the assembled (enrollment) authentication data to determine whether the user has been uniquely identified. [COL.6, lines 20-38]

Epstein teach the invention of a secure proxy signing devices for forming and supplying digital signatures that provides security measures directed against the possibility of unauthorized users (col.2, lines 30-37) over a network on behalf of the users so that private keys are never extant at user equipment which is not secure (col.1, lines 6-10). Enrollment authorization data can broadly read as data use for authorization purposes is generated and stored or registered to reference to in the future. Epstein generates and stores sensitive data but did not clearly point out enrollment of authorization data.

Borza discloses that computer security is an important issue and with proliferation of computers and computer networks into all aspects of business and daily life (i.e. financial, medical, government, communications), a concern over secure file access is growing. Thus, Borza teaches encryption techniques and generating and storing biometrics to provide security for computer communications and files for computers and networks (col.1, lines 15-26). Borza discusses an image capture means utilized to capture a representation of an image of biometric information in the form of a fingerprint that is registered

in order to identify a source of the fingerprint whereby the dependent source fingerprint, an encryption/decryption key is selected (col.7, lines 3-11). Further, Borza discloses storing and processing substantially randomized sensitive data portions (COL.6, lines 63 and COL.7, lines 30-38).

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to include enrollment of Borza for the authorization data of Epstein because registering the authorization data identify a source of the fingerprint.

As per claim 15: See COL.6, lines 12-14; discussing the substantially randomized data portions are not individually decipherable.

As per claim 16: See COL.5, lines 63-66 and COL.6, lines 39-49; discussing each data storage facility is logically separated from any other data storage facility.

As per claim 17: See COL.5, lines 63-66 [for the data storage within the smartcard] and COL.6, lines 39-49 [for the data storage within the server]; discussing each data storage facility is physically separated from any other data storage facility.

As per claim 18: See COL.6, lines 30-32; discusses a cryptographic engine which, upon the unique identification of the user by the authentication engine, provides cryptographic functionality to the user.

As per claim 19: See COL.6, lines 28-40; discussing the plurality of data storage facilities comprises at least one secure server.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,519

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 20: See COL.4, lines 24-29; discusses the unique identification of the user by the authentication engine provides the user authorization to gain access to or operate one or more systems.

As per claim 21: See COL.4, lines 47-48; discussing one or more electronic devices.

As per claim 22: See COL.5, lines 4-10; discussing computer software systems.

As per claim 23: See COL.4, lines 47-48; discussing one or more systems include one or more consumer electronic.

As per claim 24: See COL.4, lines 47-48; discussing one or more consumer electronics includes a cellular phone.

As per claim 25: See COL.4, lines 30-35; discussing one or more systems include one or more cryptographic systems.

As per claim 26: See COL.4, lines 47-52; discussing one or more systems include one or more physical locations.

As per claim 27: See COL.5, lines 63-66 and col.6, lines 39-49; discussing at least one of the data storage facilities stores at least some sensitive data, wherein the at least one of the data storage facilities serves the sensitive data when the authentication engine indicates that the user has been uniquely identified.

As per claim 28: See COL.5, lines 63-66 and col.6, lines 39-49; discussing a data vault which stores sensitive data, wherein the data vault serves the

sensitive data when the authentication engine indicates that the user has been uniquely identified.

As per claim 29: See COL.6, lines 28-48 and COL.7, lines 9-14; discusses the identification system outputs an indication of whether the user has been uniquely identified.

8. Claims 59-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pang (US 6,446,204), and further in view of BORZA (US 5,995,630).

As per claim 59:

PANG discloses a secure authentication system, comprising:

a plurality of authentication engines [FIG.8], wherein each authentication engine receives substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of enrollment authentication data which once assembled are [COL.1, lines 53-58 and COL.22, lines 20-22] designed to uniquely identify a user to a degree of certainty [COL.22, lines 36-44], each authentication engine receives current authentication data to compare to said assembled enrollment authentication data, and wherein each authentication engine determines an authentication result; and [COL.22, lines 45-64]

a redundancy system which receives the authentication result of at least two of the authentication engines and determines whether the user has been uniquely identified. [COL.22, lines 65-67 and COL.23, lines 32-39]

Enrollment authorization data can broadly read as data use for authorization purposes is generated and then stored or registered for reference use for comparison purposes. Pang discloses authorization data such as username and password where it is obvious the enrollment is necessary in order to reference with the user's authentication data for comparison purposes. Pang generates and compares authorization data but did not clearly point out enrollment of authorization data.

Borza discloses that computer security is an important issue and with proliferation of computers and computer networks into all aspects of business and daily life (i.e. financial, medical, government, communications), a concern over secure file access is growing. Thus, Borza teaches encryption techniques, biometrics, and storing and processing substantially randomized sensitive data portions (COL.6, lines 63 and COL.7, lines 30-38) to provide security for computer communications and files for computers and networks (col.1, lines 15-26). Borza discusses an image capture means utilized to capture a representation of an image of biometric information in the form of a fingerprint that is registered in order to identify a source of the fingerprint whereby the dependent source fingerprint, an encryption/decryption key is selected (col.7, lines 3-11).

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to include enrollment of Borza for the authorization data of Pang because registering the authorization data identify a source of the fingerprint.

As per claim 60: See COL.22, lines 50-67; discusses the redundancy system where the user has been identified by the majority of the authentication results. [The client/user sends a request for access and the plurality of providers determines whether access is authorized by sending the results if the request is authorized or authenticated. Hence, that since there includes multiple providers where each provider sends its results, it is inherent the client request is deemed authenticated by having a majority or unanimous positive results of the providers]

As per claim 61: As rejected in claim 61; discusses whether the user is uniquely identified by requiring the authentication results to be unanimously positive before issuing a positive identification.

As per claim 62:

PANG discloses a plurality of geographically remote trust engines, each trust engine having one of the plurality of authentication engines and one of the redundancy modules [FIG.8 and COL.23, lines 32-39], wherein the redundancy module for at least one of the plurality of trust engines determines whether the user has been uniquely identified using the authentication results

Art Unit: 2135

from ones of the authentication engines associated with the other trust engines [FIG.6 and COL.6, lines 5-19] and without using the authentication results from the at least one trust engine. [COL.22, lines 45-67]

As per claim 63: See COL.20, lines 30-54 and COL.21, lines 14-23; discussing each of the plurality of trust engines includes a depository having a computer accessible storage medium which stores a substantially randomized portion of the enrollment authentication data and wherein each depository forwards the substantially randomized portion of the enrollment authentication data to the plurality of authentication engines.

As per claim 64: See COL.20, lines 32-39 and COL.22, lines 50-67; discussing determining whether the user has been uniquely identified corresponds to the one of the redundancy modules to first determine a result.

As per claim 65:

PANG discloses a secure authentication system, comprising:

a first trust engine comprising a first depository, wherein the first depository includes a computer accessible storage medium which stores substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of enrollment authentication data from a plurality of enrollment authentication data; [COL.5, lines 59-67 and COL.19, lines 15-22]

a second trust engine located at a different geographic location than the

first trust engine and comprising [COL.18, lines 6-1]

a second depository having a computer accessible storage medium which stores substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of, [COL.1, lines 53-58 and COL.22, lines 20-22]

an authentication engine communicating with the first and second depositories and which assembles at least two portions of enrollment authentication data into a usable form, and [COL.19, lines 43-64 and COL.22, lines 65-67]

an transaction engine communicating with the first and second depositories and the authentication engine, [COL.5, lines 44-58 and FIG.2]

wherein the second trust engine is determined to be available to execute a transaction engine receives enrollment authentication data from the user and forwards a request for substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of enrollment authentication data to the first and second depositories [COL.6, lines 41-50], and wherein the authentication engine receives said enrollment authentication data from the transaction engine and the substantially randomized data portions of at least one piece of enrollment authentication data from the first and second depositories, and determines an authentication result. [COL.21, lines 28-42 and COL.22, lines 39-67]

Enrollment authorization data can broadly read as data use for authorization purposes is generated and then stored or registered for reference use for comparison purposes. Pang discloses authorization data such as username and password where it is obvious the enrollment is necessary in

order to reference with the user's authentication data for comparison purposes.

Pang generates and compares authorization data but did not clearly point out enrollment of authorization data.

Borza discloses that computer security is an important issue and with proliferation of computers and computer networks into all aspects of business and daily life (i.e. financial, medical, government, communications), a concern over secure file access is growing. Thus, Borza teaches encryption techniques, biometrics, and storing and processing substantially randomized sensitive data portions (COL.6, lines 63 and COL.7, lines 30-38) to provide security for computer communications and files for computers and networks (col.1, lines 15-26). Borza discusses an image capture means utilized to capture a representation of an image of biometric information in the form of a fingerprint that is registered in order to identify a source of the fingerprint whereby the dependent source fingerprint, an encryption/decryption key is selected (col.7, lines 3-11).

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to include enrollment of Borza for the authorization data of Pang because registering the authorization data identify a source of the fingerprint.

As per claim 66: See FIG.2 and COL.20, lines 45-67; discussing the determination of whether the second trust engine is available to execute the

transaction includes a determination of whether the second trust engine is within the geographic proximity to the user.

As per claim 67: See COL.10, lines 31-51 and COL.23, lines 10-20; discusses determining of whether the second trust engine is available to execute the transaction includes a determination of whether the second trust engine is currently servicing a light system load.

As per claim 68: See COL.25, lines 30-38; discusses determining of whether the second trust engine is currently scheduled for maintenance.

As per claim 69: See COL.22, lines 39-67, discusses the first and second trust engines are determined to be available, and an authentication result for the trust engine system follows the first and second trust engines to produce the authentication result.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax

Art Unit: 2135

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

´ HOSUK SONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

LHa